UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT (I)
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN (I)
SOUTHERN DIVISION
2015 APR 14 P 3: 17

Creditor/Objector

U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT E.D. MICHIGAN-DETROIT

V In re Chapter 9
Case No. 13-53846
Magistrate Judge:
Thomas J. Tucker

CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN,
Debtor/Municipality

OBJECTION TO NOTICE OF MOTION OF THE CITY OF DETROIT, PURSUANT TO SECTIONS105(a) AND 502(c) OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE AND BANKRUPTCY RULE 3021, FOR AN ORDER APPROVING RESERVE AMOUNT FOR CERTAIN DISPUTED OR UNLIQUIDATED UNSECURED CLAIMS IN CONNECTION WITH DISTRIBUTIONS TO BE MADE UNDER THE EIGHTH AMENDED PLAN FOR THE ADJUSTMENT OF THECITY OF DETROIT

NOW COMES the above creditor/objector in this response object to the document disguised as a notice, pursuant to Section 105(a) and 502(c) of the bankruptcy code and bankruptcy rule 3021 for an order approving reserve amount for certain disputed or unliquidated unsecured claims in connection with distributions to be made under the Eighth Amended Plan

for the Adjustment of the city of Detroit and for the following reasons:

- 1) The Plan of Adjustment, confirmation of the plan and any thing connected or stemming from the plan of Adjustment was not filed in good faith and has to complied with the bankruptcy code and rules 11 USC 923 governing the notice. There have been numerous objections to method of your way of so called notifying people in the following objection Docket Number: 8306, 8233, 8314, 8428 8310.
- 2) I have not been legally notified personally or publicly and a associate just stumble across it on the internet. We have not been notified and most the people contacted have not received a notice and more time is need to consult legal assistant and advice.
- 3) We object further to this notice also because there never was a legal vote on the plan the only attempt was with counterfeit ballots and violation of the election laws. The plan of adjustment and confirmation of the plan was unconstitutional and deprived and denied us Basic Civil Right 42 USC 1983 and due process of law of the 5th and 14th Amendments of the Constitution of the United States.
- 2) We also objected to the impairment and diminishing the health care and pension benefits that is protected by Article 9 Section 24 Constitution of

the State of Michigan and the 10th Amendment of the Federal Constitution.

- 3) The pension has double from two and half (21/2) billon to four and half to five (41/2) to 5 Billon from 2012 to 2014.
- 4) We never had a hearing and as stated above no notice as well and this violated the Supreme Court Decision a person must have <u>timely</u> and <u>adequate notice</u> detailing the reasons for the notice and an effective opportunity to defend. Goldberg v. Kelly 397 U.S. 254 (1970) citing Armstrong v. Manzo, U.S. 545, 552 (1965).
- Recoupment and it doesn't apply to me or any other retirees and/or pensioners because there no grounds to recoup. The word recoupment means "It is keeping back something which is due because there is an equitable reason to withhold it; and is uniformly applied where a man bring an action for breach of a contract between him and the defendant; and where the latter can show that some stipulation in the same contract was made by the plaintiff, which he has violated, the defendant may, if he choose, instead of suing in his turn, recoupe his damages arising from the breach committed by the plaintiff. Ives v. Van Eppes 22 Wend, N.Y. 156. And see Barber v. Chapin, 28 Vt. 413 Black's Law Dictionary revised 4th Edition. We don't

owe anything and have not agree to pay anything and their is no contract of recoupment.

WHEREFORE and all the above facts and law we Object to this notice Section 105(a), 502(c) and Bankruptcy Rule 3021 and request that this notice be voluntary rescinded, withdrawn or cancel or be declared null and void because of its failure to be presented in good faith and failure to comply with the Bankruptcy Rules and code and contravening due process of the law.

Sincerely submitted
Nama interest, A Fell
Name interest party/creditor/objector
Address: 5270 fudubon
City and state Stroit, MT 48224
Date April 1, 2015

## United States Bankruptcy Court

	Eastern District Of Michigan
In re	Set forth here all names including married, maiden, and trade names used by debtor within last 6 years.]  Debtor C. Vedite C. Deserved.
Address	
	) Chapter
	hr's Tax Identification (EIN) No(s). [if any]:
Last toui	
	NOTICE OF [MOTION TO ] [OBJECTION TO ]
	has filed papers with the court to [relief sought in motion or objection].
-	Your rights may be affected. You should read these papers carefully and discuss them our attorney, if you have one in this bankruptcy case. (If you do not have an attorney, you ish to consult one.)
conside	If you do not want the court to [relief sought in motion or objection], or if you want the court to r your views on the [motion] [objection], then on or before (date), you or your attorney must:
	[File with the court a written request for a hearing {or, if the court requires a written response, an answer, explaining your position} at:  {address of the bankruptcy clerk's office}  {or, if the court requires a written response, an answer, explaining your position} at:    United State Bankruptcy   Suite 2100   Datroit Michigan 48226-321
	7
	so the court will receive it on or before the date stated above. of Detroit
	If you mail your {request} {response} to the court for filing, you must mail it early enough so the court will receive it on or before the date stated above. One that the count of the cou
	{movant's attorney's name and address}  Detroit Michigan 48226
	{names and addresses of others to be served}]
	[Attend the hearing scheduled to be held on(date), _(year)_, ata.m./p.m. in Courtroom, United States Bankruptcy Court, {address}.]
	[Other steps required to oppose a motion or objection under local rule or court order.]
relief so	If you or your attorney do not take these steps, the court may decide that you do not oppose the bught in the motion or objection and may enter an order granting that relief.
Date:	Signature: Name:

## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT **EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISON**

FILED (I)

2015	APR	14	P	3:	17
6019	111 11	14	1	_)•	1 1

110	DAME	111175	7 57	COSTIN
0.0.	DANNI	TUPI	LI	COURT
E.U.	. Million	UAR	·UE	TROIT

V

In re: CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN AND EMERGENCY MANAGER KEVYN D. ORR

Chapter 9 Case No. 13-53846 Magistrate judge: Thomas J. Tucker

Debtor		

## **PROOF OF SERVICE**

, being first duly sworn deposes and
Say that on April 2015. I sent a copy of Notice of motion of the City
of Detroit, pursuant to section 105(a) and 502 (c) of the bankruptcy code
and Rule 3021 for order approving reserve amount for certain disputed or
unliquidated unsecured claims in connection with distributions to be made
under the Eighth amended plan of adjustment of the city of Detroit of
Magistrate Judge Thomas J Tucker, upon the concern parties by certified
mail at the following address:

City of Detroit Corporation Council First National Building 600 Woodward Ave Detroit, Michigan 48226

I/We hereby certify that the statements made herein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief, under penalty of perjury and contempt of Court under the laws of the United States of America.

	$\Lambda$	1	400	1	
Sign	Can	21	M	Lavors	